

**Houston LTUF Owner/Operator Committee
Teleconference Meeting January 18, 2011
Minutes**

1. Thirty three (33) committee members participated in the teleconference meeting.
2. Frank Bielen of Bayer MaterialScience gave a presentation on Intergraph Tool Usage. This was a pilot program at the Antwerp site linking SPP&ID, SPI, SPE, SPEX and PDS (3D modeling). He demonstrated how they are using all of these different tools to work together. He demonstrated how easy it is to search all of the databases and link bi-directionally between databases. They plan to now expand this from the pilot project experience to other projects and legacy information.
3. Eldar Semo of Intergraph gave a presentation on SmartPlant Foundation (SPF) Capabilities. He demonstrated how SPF can facilitate developing and linking various SmartPlant applications.
4. Ron Jackson of Flour gave a presentation on Experiences with SmartPlant Foundation. Flour has a team, called Next Gen, that has been studying connecting various databases together with SPF and other tools. They have found that it is very important to test and verify that specific versions of the various applications work together properly. Changes to a particular application version may result in new problems with data flow. Flour uses SPF for a data conduit and not for its documentation features. Ron noted that the SPF tie to SPI must be set up before creating tags in SPI for the tags to be recognized in SPF.
5. Greg Brueckner of Bayer Technology Services gave a presentation on the data dictionary and the spec browser. Greg demonstrated a way to facilitate use of the spec browser by adding specification line numbers to the data field names in the spec browser. This places the fields in the same order as they appear on a spec and eliminates confusion as to which field is which.
6. Rick Graham of ExxonMobil presented his recommendations on an enhanced data dictionary function in SPI. There is a need to clearly define data fields and databases to improve data entry and to facilitate understanding by new or infrequent users.
7. Topics for next meeting were discussed.
 - a. Two topics of interest were mentioned but no one volunteered to share their experiences on these topics:
 - i. Symbol editor – for loop generation
 - ii. Rule manager
8. Post meeting note: The presentations for this meeting filled the time and as a result there was no time for open discussion. One item for discussion at our next meeting is “Recommended SPI Enhancement Requirements”. Frank Bielen has put together a requirements document for alarm value storage in SPI, which has been sent to the committee for comment. It sounded like Rick Graham may have another requested enhancement regarding the data dictionary. The

intent is to submit this to Intergraph as a request for enhancement. If this and future requests are to come from this committee, as they would have a greater impact to Intergraph than coming from one company, I suggest that we establish some type of voting scheme that would communicate to Intergraph the level of committee backing for a request. The process could be for someone to draft a proposal of a requested enhancement; send it to committee for comment; update the proposal per comments received; send it to committee for vote; and finally send it to Intergraph with the voting results. I would like to discuss this and, if there is committee interest, develop a procedure (with the aid of some volunteers).

9. The next meeting will be Tuesday, March 15 10 AM to noon EST (9-11 AM CST). However, no speakers have been identified for this meeting. Jim will continue to solicit topics and speakers. If none are obtained in time, the meeting may be postponed.